



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

S TO THE STATE OF	·	ATTORNEY DOCKETINO. CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/986,004 11/07/2001	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Takuji Matsumoto	215544U\$2 4595
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAN	D MAIER & NEUSTADT PC	SEFER, AHMED N
FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWA ARLINGTON, VA 22202	AY ,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2826 DATE MAILED: 07/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		in the state of th
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/986,004	MATSUMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
Office Action Summary	L Cofor	2826
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	A. Selel	th the correspondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on are	TO TOOM
period for Keply	DIVIS SEL TO EAFTER 2	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF • If NO DESTRUCTION OF THE MAILING THE	reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A nailing date of this communication, even i	rty (30) days will be considered this communication. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status(a) filed on	28 May 2002 .	
1) Responsive to communication(s)	This action is non-final.	ention as to the merits is
2a) This action is FINAL.	allowance except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to all
Since this application is in condition to the closed in accordance with the practice of the closed in accordance with the cl		C.D. 11, 400 C.
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application of Claim(s) is/are with the application of Claims (a) is/are with the application of Claims	cation.	
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from considerate	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
5) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
6) ☐ Claim(3) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object to restriction 8) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to rooms		
l		miner
in the second control of the second control	n□ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examinor.
9) The specification is objected to 9 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objected to 9 Applicant may not request that any objected to 9	tion to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 Street
Applicant may not request the	op is: a) ☐ approved t	J) Glooppe
11) The proposed drawing correction filed If approved, corrected drawings are required to	in reply to this Office action	1.
If approved, corrected drawings are requ	illed in Topy	
The oath or declaration is objected to	- J	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	dar 35 l	I.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 33 c	
13) Acknowledging it is not none of:		and
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received	/cu.
a) All b) Some symmetric some symmetric symmet	documents have been recei	ved in Application this National Stage
2. Certified copies of the phone	of the priority documents ha	ve been received in this tops
of the certified copies	OF THE LEGISLATION AND THE T	ve been received in this National Stage 7.2(a)). pies not received. 5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application on has been received. 85 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) The translation of the foreign l 15) Acknowledgment is made of a clain	anguage provisional applicati	on has been 10051
a) The translation of the foleight	n for domestic priority under t	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
15) Acknowledgment is made of a stamp		43) Paner No(s),
Attachment(s)	4) [_	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Net (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Perferences Cited (PTO-892)		Other:
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		Part of Paper No.
71	Office Action Summary	

Application/Control Number: 09/986,004

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Applicant's argument in Paper No. 4 was found persuasive. Therefore, the restriction requirement is withdrawn.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Embodiment 1 shown in figs. 3-8, embodiment 2 shown in fig. 9, embodiment 3 shown in figs. 10-12, embodiment 4 shown in figs. 13-15, embodiment 5 shown in figs. 16 and 17, embodiment 6 shown in figs. 18-25, embodiment 7 shown in figs. 26-34 and embodiment 8 shown in figs. 35-59.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/986,004

Art Unit: 2826

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

ANS July 3, 2002

> NATHAN J. FLYNN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800